

## **Poster Presentation Evaluation Form**



Poster Number:	
Presenter(s):	
Poster Title:	

## **SCORING TABLE**

Category	Scoring Criteria	Points			
Organization (12 points)	Overall visual appeal (layout of text and figures)	1	2	3	4
	The quantity of information is not overwhelming	1	2	3	4
	The flow of information is logical and easy to understand	1	2	3	4
	Organization Score =				
Content (26 points)	Title (Effectively highlights the poster's subject matter)	No = 0		Yes	= 1
	Clearly stated questions or hypothesis being addressed	1	2	3	4
	Well explained rationale/justification of the study	1	2	3	4
	Introduction (Adequate background given constraints of medium)	1	2	3	4
	Methods (Clear outline of procedures; Appropriate to address aim/question)	1	2	3	4
	Results (Adequate summary of findings? Presented in a manner easy to understand?)	No = 0		Yes = 1	
	Tables and Figures (Effectively communicate key facts and concepts? Are captions next to corresponding table or figure?)	1	2	3	4
	Clarity of content (free of jargon and spelling/grammar errors)	1	2	3	4
	Content Score =				
Background Theory	Significance of topic (to the field of study, community, etc.)	1	2	3	4
	Originality and novelty of the research	1	2	3	4
(12 points)	Gap in knowledge/exploration identified	1	2	3	4
(12 points)	Background Score =				
Conclusion / Future Prospects (12 points)	Conclusions are sufficiently supported by results	1	2	3	4
	Results are placed into broader framework / Future possibilities	1	2	3	4
	Addressed study's problem/question	1	2	3	4
	Conclusion / Future Prospects Score =				
	Ability to present the research clearly and briefly (up to 4-5 min.)	1	2	3	4
Oral Presentation (16 points)	Engaged with the audience (volunteered information or asked questions)	1	2	3	4
	Professional, confident, knowledgeable about the topic, understanding of materials	1	2	3	4
	Response to questions (including quality of responses)	1	2	3	4
	Presentation Score =				

Final Score =	/ 78

Comments:

## Guidelines for poster evaluation:

- Each Scoring Criterion is evaluated in a 4 point system. If you feel any need to deviate from this system, please put your notes in the comments section, but do not improvise.
- In the case of a tie, the organizers will refer to your comments, so it would be beneficial to provide as much feedback as possible. Thank You.
- Please provide **CONSTRUCTIVE FEEDBACK** for poster presenters so they could improve their work in the future. Positive feedback is preferred (for example, please refer to supplemental information).
- Please keep in mind that all poster presenters will be provided with the opportunity to view their evaluation form after the poster session is over.